

**REMARKS**

Reconsideration of this application is requested.

The allowability of claims 2, 5-6, 9 and 11 if made independent of a rejected base claim has been noted. Accordingly, claim 1 has been amended to include the features of claim 2, the latter being cancelled, along with claim 5, as redundant. Claim 1 should, therefore, be allowable.

Claims 3 and 4 should also now be allowable as they depend from claim 1.

Claim 6, also indicated to be allowable, has been amended to be independent by adding the substance of original claim 1 thereto.

Claims 7 and 8 should also be allowable in view of their dependence on amended claim 1. The same is true for claim 9 whose dependence on claim 8 (which in turn depends from claim 1) has been retained in view of the indicated amendments to claim 1.


Claim 10 has been amended to include the features of allowable claim 2. Hence claim 10 should be allowable.

Claim 11, indicated to be allowable if made independent, has been made independent. Hence, claim 11 should be allowable.

The Examiner is requested to reconsider and allow the application as all of the claims, as amended, are thought to be allowable. The applicants do not agree with the Examiner's Section 103(a) rejection of claims 1, 3-4, 7-8 and 10 based on the Shinji and Kim references. However, the rejection is mooted by the indicated claim amendments.

Favorable reconsideration with allowance is requested.

Respectfully submitted,  
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

By   
Paul N. Kokulis  
Reg. No. 16773

Date: June 30, 2004

**Customer No. 09629**  
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004  
Phone: (202) 739-3000  
Facsimile: (202) 739-3001  
Direct: (202) 739-5455